

Downtown Buffalo Development Projects BY TYPE

GRAND TOTAL INVESTMENT FOR ALL PROJECTS = \$7,495,376,760



KEY PROJECT	STATUS	YEAR	INVESTMENT
BUFFALO BILLION			
A	UC		\$3,500,000,000
B	UC		\$225,000,000
C	P		\$55,000,000
D	P		\$55,000,000
E	P		\$53,000,000
BUFFALO BILLION TOTAL			\$3,888,000,000

KEY PROJECT	STATUS	YEAR	INVESTMENT
MEDICAL MEDICAL R&D			
1	UC	2016	\$375,000,000
2	C	2012	\$291,000,000
3	UC	2016	\$270,000,000
4	UC	2015	\$110,000,000
5	C	2006	\$74,000,000
6	C	2011	\$64,000,000
7	C	2006	\$61,000,000
8	UC	2015	\$43,000,000
9	C	2005	\$24,000,000
10	C	2007	\$9,000,000
11	C	2007	\$5,500,000
12	C	2006	\$2,500,000
MEDICAL MEDICAL R&D TOTAL			\$1,329,000,000

KEY PROJECT	STATUS	YEAR	INVESTMENT
MIXED USE <i>May include a combination of any other mapped types</i>			
13	P		\$200,000,000
14	UC	2015	\$110,000,000
15	C	2009	\$85,000,000
16	UC		\$80,000,000
17	P	2016	\$75,000,000
18	P	2016	\$55,000,000
19	C	2013	\$50,000,000
20	C	2012	\$43,000,000
21	C	2014	\$41,500,000
22	UC	2015	\$35,000,000
23	C	2014	\$30,000,000
24	C	2014	\$21,086,889
25	C	2007	\$17,500,000
26	C	2016	\$14,600,000
27	C	2011	\$13,000,000
28	P		\$12,300,000
29	P		\$12,300,000
30	P	2016	\$12,000,000
31	P		\$12,000,000
32	P		\$10,500,000
33	C	2009	\$10,000,000
34	C	2006	\$8,000,000
35	UC		\$8,000,000
36	P		\$6,500,000
37	UC	2015	\$6,000,000
38	UC		\$6,000,000
39	P		\$5,800,000
40	C	2011	\$5,500,000
41	P		\$5,000,000
42	P		\$2,000,000
43	C	2011	\$1,670,650
44	P		\$1,000,000
MIXED USE TOTAL			\$995,257,539

KEY PROJECT	STATUS	YEAR	INVESTMENT
OFFICE			
45	C	2007	\$110,000,000
46	C	2005	\$48,000,000
47	C	2013	\$46,000,000
48	C	2003	\$40,000,000
49	C	2014	\$32,694,221
50	P		\$30,000,000
51	C	2014	\$17,500,000
52	C	2011	\$14,000,000
53	C	2007	\$12,200,000
54	C	2010	\$12,000,000
55	C	2007	\$12,000,000
56	C	2006	\$10,000,000
57	P	2015	\$5,000,000
58	P		\$3,800,000
59	C	2014	\$3,000,000
60	C	2011	\$2,750,000
61	C	2013	\$2,000,000
62	C	2013	\$1,585,000
OFFICE TOTAL			\$402,529,221

KEY PROJECT	STATUS	YEAR	INVESTMENT
OTHER			
63	C	2011	\$137,000,000
64	C	2012	\$34,000,000
65	P		\$27,000,000
66	C	2006	\$9,000,000
67	C	2011	\$5,700,000
68	UC		\$1,700,000
OTHER TOTAL			\$214,400,000

KEY PROJECT	STATUS	YEAR	INVESTMENT
RESIDENTIAL			
69	UC		\$42,000,000
70	C	2009	\$32,000,000
71	P	2016	\$26,600,000
72	C	2010	\$16,600,000
73	C	2014	\$13,600,000
74	P		\$12,300,000
75	C	2010	\$11,000,000
76	C	2007	\$11,000,000
77	C	2009	\$10,000,000
78	P		\$8,000,000
79	C	2007	\$7,600,000
80	C	2008	\$7,200,000
81	C	2012	\$7,000,000
82	C	2014	\$7,000,000
83	P		\$6,600,000
84	P		\$6,000,000
85	C	2014	\$5,290,000
86	C	2006	\$5,000,000
87	C	2003	\$4,300,000
88	C	2012	\$3,000,000
89	C	2005	\$2,500,000
90	C	2008	\$2,000,000
91	C	2008	\$2,000,000
92	C	2013	\$1,800,000
93	C	2012	\$1,000,000
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL			\$251,290,000

KEY PROJECT	STATUS	YEAR	INVESTMENT
TOURISM/HOSPITALITY			
94	UC	2015	\$172,000,000
95	C	2013	\$130,000,000
96	C	2008	\$53,000,000
97	P		\$19,000,000
98	C	2013	\$15,000,000
99	P		\$9,000,000
100	P	2016	\$8,000,000
101	UC	2014	\$5,700,000
102	C	2009	\$2,200,000
103	C	2013	\$1,000,000
TOURISM/HOSPITALITY TOTAL			\$414,900,000
GRAND TOTAL			\$7,495,376,760

While all reasonable precautions have been taken in publishing this map, the Buffalo Niagara Enterprise assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions. Information supplied is current as of 1/5/2014 and the data was believed to be taken from sources deemed reliable. Edits can be made. Please contact Chris Finn with any comments, cfinn@buffaloniagara.org

* The development areas represent a concentration of investments across multiple projects. The figures may include individual mapped projects and/or projects not mapped with no specific geography. (Ex. - Construction & infill of Fruit Belt with residents of McCarley Gardens) Due to potential overlap, these districts totals cannot be aggregated with the grand total or don't imply the total includes these figures.

** The zones designate concentration and directional distribution of projects weighted by investment. The wider the zone, the more diverse the investment geographically. The narrower the zone, the more concentrated the investment amount. (Ex. - "Office" is concentrated in the Central Business District, but is being pulled towards large projects in the Larkin District).